

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,670	08/17/2001	Gerard De Haan	PHNL000643US	4537
24737 7590 04/22/2004 PHILÍPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			AN, SHAWN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2613		
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	. /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~~~
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/913,670	DE HAAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shawn S An	2613
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters,	•
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn from 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5 and 7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>		·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished and accomplished and accomplished and accomplished to the second accomplished and accomplished and accomplished acco	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No vived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summer Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413)   Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/913,670

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Restriction/Election

1. Applicant's election response as filed on 4/5/04 provisionally elect with traverse, the species I depicted in Fig. 2, which reads on claims 1-5 and 7 have been acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is only one invention claimed. This is not found persuasive because the burden is proved by the two distinct (independent) species, which follows:

Species I and II corresponding to Figures 2 and 3, respectively.

The prior art searching and a prosecution clearly would be a burden based on the two species. Furthermore, a burden and a distinct (independent) are two separate criterion. The burden is met by two species and the distinct (independent) is met by the diverse elements between the drawings, wherein one embodiment is not deemed obvious over any other species identified.

Therefore, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by De Haan et al (WO 99/16251).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 1-5, De Haan et al discloses a device/method for motion estimation in video image data comprising a digital memory (Fig. 3, SC, SM) for storing a first and a scond video image, in which method, starting from a first (n-1) and a second video image (n) parameter sets of two or more motion models are determined (PEn), characterized in that only parts of the image area (image parts) are taken into account for determining the parameter sets, in which the first video image is distinguished from the second video image (page 6, lines 13-26).

De Haan et al further discloses means for block-wise evaluation of the deviations between the current and the previous video image and selection of those blocks for use of the selection criterion, in which the value of the deviation exceeds a predetermined threshold value (page 7, lines 7-20; page 8, lines 14-20; page 30, lines 8-11).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,670

Art Unit: 2613

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (5,612,743) in view of Lee et al (5,933,535).

Regarding claim 7, Lee discloses a device/method for motion estimation in video image data comprising a first and a second video image (current frame; reference frame) and computes parameter sets of two or more motion models (Fig. 3, 310, 311) and supplies motion data describing the displacement of image objects (motion vectors) from the previous to the current image, wherein the image data of two video images are compared with each other and only those parts of the image area (region selecting) in which there are significant differences between the two video images are taken into account in the computation of the parameter sets (abs.).

Lee fails to disclose a computer program product for motion estimation.

However, Lee et al teaches a computer program product for motion estimation (Fig. 1, 20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing the device/method of motion estimation as taught by Lee to incorporate the computer program product for motion estimation as taught by the Lee et al so as to save costs associated with the hardware.

#### Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Shawn S An whose telephone number is 703-305-0099. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex hours (10).

Page 5

8. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SG

PATENT EXAMINE

SSA

Primary Patent Examiner 4/16/04